

TITLE: Water Resources Management Plan in the Diep River Catchment: A Situation Assessment

REPORT NUMBER: N/G210/REQ/1200

STATUS OF THE REPORT: FINAL

DATE: APRIL 2002

This page is left blank intentionally

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The following individuals or organisations are thanked for their contributions to this report:

Ms. Tovho Ndiitwani, Western Cape Regional Office of the Department of Water Affairs and Forestry (DWAF).

Mr. Mjikisile Vulindlu and Ingrid Thomson, City of Cape Town, supplied coastal water quality data.

Dr. Anneli Kühn, for valuable ideas and input.

The authors of this report would like to thank the following people for their valuable comments:

Mr. B Hohls, Ms. MJ Gabriel, Mr. S Mosai, and Ms. T Zokufa (Institute for Water Quality Studies (IWQS),

Mr. Henk van Kleef (DWAF Western Cape Region).

## LIST OF CO-WORKERS

Project Management:

Mr. Ariel Mafejane, and Ms. Antonia Belcher (van Driel)

Water Quality Monitoring:

Western Cape Regional Office

Data Analyses and Presentation:

Mr. Ariel Mafejane, and Ms. Antonia Belcher (van Driel)

Maps:

Ms. Danieël Wildemans, and Mr. Mike Silberbauer

Map 18 - Source: Adapted from Lochner P, Barwell L, & Morant P, 1994(b)

Report:

Mr. Ariel Mafejane, Ms. Antonia Belcher (van Driel), Ms. Lilly Zingitwa, and Dr. P Kempster

Report Cover:

Mr. Ariel Mafejane and Ms. Danieël Wildemans

This page is left blank intentionally

This document gives a description of situation assessment on water resource quality of the Diep River Catchment. The study originated from a request by the Regional Office, Western Cape of Department of Water Affairs and Forestry (DWAF), to the Institute of Water Quality Studies (IWQS) in 1997.

The main objective of this report is to provide a situational assessment of the water quality, quantity and the aquatic ecosystem health of the surface, ground and coastal waters of the Diep River Catchment. This study is aimed not only at the Western Cape regional office, but it can be used for a wide readership by the catchment management agencies in the area, interested parties (e.g. salinisation issues in the Western Cape) and decision makers, as an input into catchment management plan for example.

The Diep River rises from the Riebeek-Kasteel Mountains, north-east of the catchment. It then flows in a south-westerly direction through Malmesbury before discharging into Table Bay, north of Cape Town. The Diep River has a total length of about 65 km. The catchment has a total area of about 1 495 km<sup>2</sup>. The Diep River catchment is low lying and flat with isolated mountains on its eastern boundary, namely the Perdeberg, Kasteelberg, and Paarlberg.

The Diep River has one major tributary, the Mosselbank River, which rises in the Skurweberg Mountains and drains the south-eastern portion of the catchment namely, the Durbanville and Kraaifontein areas. The Mosselbank River has a tributary called the Klapmuts River. Other tributaries of the Diep River include the Riebeek River, Klein River, Swart River, Platklip River, Groen River, and the Sout River.

The estuary is approximately 900 hectares in area and consists of Rietvlei and Milnerton lagoon. The lower estuary, generally called Milnerton Lagoon, follows a narrow winding channel from the southern tip of Rietvlei to the river mouth.

The catchment falls into the western lowland area of the Western Cape. This area may be divided into the Swartland in the east and the Sandveld in the west. Virtually the entire catchment is under cultivation of mostly wheat. In the southern extremes of the catchment, urban and industrial development is dominant.

A general overview of the water chemistry of the surface, ground, and coastal water within the catchment is briefly given. The Western Cape Regional Office (DWAF), the City of Cape Town, and the Local Authorities are currently in charge of the monitoring activities within the catchment. The surface and groundwater quality was not monitored regularly during the study period and hence the trends and water quality changes are not indicated. An assessment available data on the suitability of the water

quality was however done for the various water users. The most prominent problem at most sites with all the water users was elevated salt concentrations (Total Dissolved Salts, Electrical Conductivity), which could be attributed to the geology in the catchment.

Assessment of the available data in terms of the requirements for domestic use indicates that the surface water monitoring points on the upper, middle, and lower catchment are classified mainly in the marginal to poor water quality classes. There are two monitoring points, which are classified under the unacceptable water quality class. In the upper catchment the groundwater has the classification of ideal class (one source), good class (one source), and marginal water quality class. The middle and lower part of the catchment indicates poor and unacceptable water quality classes for the groundwater resources as a result of elevated salt concentrations.

An assessment of the microbial water quality data for recreational use indicated that the coastal water monitored points will only pose health risk if the water is swallowed during contact recreational use (e.g. swimming).

The assessment of the data for agriculture - irrigation use - indicates that irrigation of the surface water requires management intervention at monitoring points on the upper catchment due to elevated electrical conductivity, sodium and chloride concentrations. The middle and lower catchment irrigation of water also requires management intervention (with,

exception of water obtained from the Kraaifontein Wastewater Treatment Works which can be utilised to irrigate less sensitive crops). In the upper catchment the groundwater data indicates that the water also requires management intervention at monitoring points and also as a result of elevated electrical conductivity, sodium and chloride concentrations. Groundwater in the middle and lower part of the catchment is not suitable for irrigation.

The assessment of water quality data for agriculture – in the form of livestock watering indicates that the use of surface water for livestock watering at monitoring points on the upper, middle and lower catchment may create problems for particularly more sensitive animal species. The effect of elevated salts will depend on the type of livestock as the actual intake volumes and subsequent ingestion of salts varies enormously between species and production systems. Water on the upstream sites of Mosselbank River is however suitable for livestock watering. The groundwater quality data indicates that the use of water for livestock watering could create problems in the upper, middle, and lower catchment, particularly for more sensitive animal species.

Biomonitoring is an assessment tool that was also utilised to assess the integrity of the aquatic ecosystems in the Diep River catchment. The results from the various investigations indicate that only one monitored point located upstream of the Diep River is moderately impaired. The rest of the monitoring points are classified as deteriorated. For the proposed

second phase studies, results of biomonitoring need to be compared and contrasted with the chemical monitoring as the monitoring programmes would give information on the water quality that could supplement each other.

It is recommended that further negotiation within the catchment by stakeholders and other water users be initiated/continued for the setting and attainment of water resource quality objectives. The resource quality objectives should also be assessed in terms of the requirements of the “Reserve” and in terms of the needs of the other users, as part of the second phase of the project.

Issues have been raised in this report that need to be addressed for the improvement of water resource management in the Diep River catchment. For each water resource issue alternative recommendations has been made and constraints identified such as:

- Non-access to potable water by some communities requires an investigation of alternative sources of water.
- Rapid development in the lower parts of the catchment need to be controlled as specified in the environment policies e.g. Environmental Impact Assessment as stipulated in the National Environment Management Act.

- Areas with alien (exotic) vegetation infestation in the catchment should be identified. The removal of alien vegetation should then be prioritised.
- Better farming practises and environmentally friendly urban development should be exercised to avoid vegetation removal, bank erosion and channel modification.
- Mining (sand) and quarries issues in the catchment need co-ordination between planning legislation and procedures administered by Municipalities.
- Altered flow in the river system issue has to be managed by controlling access of domestic livestock to surface water.
- Impacts of dams in the catchment could be addressed by water use registration and monitoring.

The issues mentioned and the recommended actions should not be considered as the final solution, and further input from the stakeholders should be considered. A second Phase of the project is recommended, to cover sections of the National Water Act dealing with Resource Direct Measures, i.e. the determination of the catchment class, reserve requirements, and resource quality objectives.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

---

<b>EXECUTIVE SUMMARY</b> .....	i	3.3	LAND USE ACTIVITIES .....	25
<b>TABLE OF CONTENTS</b> .....	iv		A) POINT SOURCE POLLUTION .....	25
<b>LIST OF TABLES</b> .....	vii	3.3.1	Wastewater Treatment Works (WWTW) .....	25
<b>LIST OF FIGURES (MAPS AND OTHER FIGURES)</b> .....	ix	3.3.2	Industries .....	25
1 <b>BACKGROUND</b> .....	1	3.3.3	Solid Waste .....	28
1.1 INTRODUCTION .....	1		B) NON-POINT SOURCE POLLUTION .....	29
1.2 APPROACH TO WATER RESOURCE MANAGEMENT .....	1	3.3.4	Residential Areas .....	29
1.3 OBJECTIVES OF THIS REPORT .....	3	3.3.5	Mining and Quarries .....	30
1.4 STRUCTURE OF THE REPORT .....	3	3.3.6	Agriculture .....	33
2 <b>OVERVIEW OF THE CATCHMENT</b> .....	5	3.3.7	Forestry or Nature Reserve .....	33
2.1 CATCHMENT DESCRIPTION AND TOPOGRAPHY .....	5	3.4	WATER USERS .....	33
2.2 CLIMATE AND HYDROLOGY .....	8	3.4.1	Domestic .....	33
2.3 GEOLOGY AND SEDIMENTATION .....	9	3.4.2	Recreation .....	33
2.4 SOILS .....	12	3.4.3	Industrial .....	33
2.5 GEOHYDROLOGY .....	12	3.4.4	Agricultural Use - Irrigation .....	34
2.6 VEGETATION .....	12	3.4.5	Agricultural Use - Livestock Watering .....	34
2.7 ESTUARINE AND COASTAL FEATURES .....	16	3.5	FUTURE DEVELOPMENT .....	34
2.8 ISSUES .....	18	3.6	ISSUES .....	36
3 <b>CATCHMENT ACTIVITIES &amp; INFRASTRUCTURE</b> .....	19	4	<b>WATER QUALITY CHARACTERISATION</b> .....	37
3.1 POPULATION .....	19	4.1	MONITORING ACTIVITIES IN THE CATCHMENT .....	37
3.2 WATER RELATED INFRASTRUCTURE .....	19	4.2	SURFACE WATER CHEMISTRY .....	37
3.2.1 Water Supply .....	19	4.2.1	Total dissolved salts .....	37
3.2.2 Sanitation .....	23	4.2.2	Nutrients .....	37
		4.2.3	Bacteriology .....	38
		4.3	GROUND WATER CHEMISTRY .....	40
		4.3.1	Total dissolved salts .....	40

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

---

4.3.2	Nutrients	40	6.2.1.2	Fish	67
4.4	COASTAL WATER CHEMISTRY	42	6.2.1.3	Zooplankton	68
4.5	ISSUES	44	6.2.1.4	Birds	68
5	<b>WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT</b>	45	6.2.2	FLORA	70
5.1	DOMESTIC	45	6.2.2.1	Vegetation	70
5.2	RECREATION	52	6.2.2.2	Phytoplankton (Diatoms)	72
5.3	AGRICULTURE	53	6.2.2.3	Algae	73
5.3.1	Irrigation	53	6.3	ISSUES	74
5.3.2	Livestock Watering	56	7	<b>SUMMARY OF WATER RESOURCE AND WATER USE ISSUES</b>	75
5.4	INDUSTRIAL	58	8	<b>RECOMMENDATIONS</b>	77
5.5	ISSUES	59	9	<b>REFERENCES</b>	79
6	<b>ASSESSMENT OF AQUATIC ECOSYSTEM INTEGRITY</b>	60		<b>APPENDICES:</b>	84
6.1	THE DIEP RIVER UPSTREAM OF THE ESTUARY	61		APPENDIX A: WETLAND PLANT COMMUNITIES	85
6.1.1	FAUNA	61		APPENDIX B: HISTORY OF IMPOUNDMENTS, AND EXISTING MONITORING POINTS IN THE DIEP RIVER CATCHMENT	87
6.1.1.1	Macro-invertebrates	61		APPENDIX C: A SUMMARY OF THE WATER QUALITY CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM SUITABLE FOR DIFFERENT USERS	93
6.1.1.2	Fish	65		APPENDIX D: ESTUARINE BIRD SPECIES	96
6.1.1.3	Zooplankton	65		APPENDIX E: WATER QUALITY DATA, SURFACE WATER OF THE DIEP RIVER CATCHMENT	102
6.1.2	FLORA	65			
6.1.2.1	In-stream and riparian zone vegetation	65			
6.1.2.2	Phytoplankton	66			
6.1.2.3	Algae	66			
6.2	ESTUARY (RIETVLEI AND MILNERTON LAGOON)	66			
6.2.1	FAUNA	66			
6.2.1.1	Aquatic Invertebrates (excluding insects)	67			

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

---

APPENDIX F: WATER QUALITY DATA, GROUNDWATER OF THE DIEP RIVER CATCHMENT.....	138
APPENDIX G: WATER QUALITY DATA, COASTAL WATER OF THE DIEP RIVER CATCHMENT.....	144
APPENDIX H: GLOSSARY OF TERMINOLOGY.....	147
APPENDIX I: GLOSSARY OF ABBREVIATIONS.....	150

## LIST OF TABLES

---

TABLE 1. HYDROLOGICAL CHARACTERISTICS OF THE DIEP RIVER CATCHMENT.....	8	AT THREE SAMPLE LOCATIONS IN THE DIEP RIVER.....	65
TABLE 2. SUMMARY OF NATURAL CHARACTERISTICS AND HUMAN IMPACT ISSUES.....	18	TABLE 15. FISH SPECIES RECORDED IN THE ESTUARY.....	67
TABLE 3. BULK WATER SUPPLY FOR THE DIEP RIVER CATCHMENT.....	22	TABLE 16. SUMMARY OF ISSUES THAT COME UP AS A RESULT OF THE AQUATIC ECOSYSTEM INTEGRITY ASSESSMENT.....	74
TABLE 4. WATER SUPPLY AND SANITATION SERVICES IN THE DIEP RIVER CATCHMENT.....	24	TABLE 17. GENERAL ISSUES IN THE DIEP RIVER CATCHMENT.....	77
TABLE 5. INDUSTRIAL WATER USE AND WASTEWATER DISPOSAL.....	26	TABLE 18. THE DISTINCTIVE WETLAND PLANT COMMUNITIES IN THE RIETVLEI.....	85
TABLE 6. SOLID WASTE SITES.....	29	TABLE 19. HISTORY OF IMPOUNDMENTS IN THE DIEP RIVER CATCHMENT AND THEIR STORAGE CAPACITIES.....	87
TABLE 7. MAJOR URBANISED AREAS IN THE DIEP RIVER CATCHMENT.....	35	TABLE 20. GROUNDWATER ABSTRACTION DETAILS.....	88
TABLE 8. SUMMARY OF LAND AND WATER USE RELATED ISSUES.....	36	TABLE 21. EXISTING WATER MONITORING POINTS IN THE CATCHMENT.....	89
TABLE 9. SUMMARY OF WATER QUALITY CHARACTERISATION ISSUES.....	44	TABLE 22. BIOMONITORING SAMPLING POINTS (SASS4, ASPT, HAM, AND HABS1).....	91
TABLE 10. SUMMARY OF THE CHARACTERISATION OF SURFACE WATER QUALITY AND ITS SUITABILITY FOR DOMESTIC USE IN THE DIEP RIVER CATCHMENT.....	49	TABLE 23. SASS4 SCORES, ASPTS AND NUMBER OF TAXA, FOR SITES SAMPLED IN THE DIEP RIVER CATCHMENT.....	92
TABLE 11. SUMMARY OF THE CHARACTERISATION OF GROUNDWATER QUALITY AND ITS SUITABILITY FOR DOMESTIC USE IN THE DIEP RIVER CATCHMENT.....	50	TABLE 24. WATER QUALITY CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM FOR SUITABILITY OF DRINKING WATER.....	93
TABLE 12. SUMMARY OF WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT ISSUES.....	59	TABLE 25. WATER QUALITY CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM (REQUIREMENTS) FOR IRRIGATION.....	84
TABLE 13. GUIDELINES FOR INTERPRETATION OF SASS4 AND ASPT SCORES.....	61	TABLE 26. WATER QUALITY REQUIREMENTS FOR LIVESTOCK WATERING.....	94
TABLE 14. FISH SPECIES SAMPLED IN VARIOUS BIOTOPES		TABLE 27. EFFECTS FOR ELECTRICAL CONDUCTIVITY AND TOTAL DISSOLVED SALTS, WITH REGARDS TO LIVESTOCK WATERING.....	95
		TABLE 28. SUMMARY OF BIRD SPECIES AND DEPENDENCIES ON THE ESTUARY (MAXIMUM BIRD COUNTS RECORDED AT THE	

## LIST OF TABLES

---

RIETVLEI SINCE 1950).....	96
TABLE 29. MAXIMUM COUNTS OF VAGRANT WATERBIRD SPECIES RECORDED AT RIETVLEI SINCE 1950.....	99
TABLE 30. DETAILS OF WATERBIRD POPULATIONS AT RIETVLEI, WHICH ARE OF REGIONAL OR NATIONAL IMPORTANCE.....	100
TABLE 31-1 to 31-26. WATER QUALITY DATA, SURFACE WATER OF THE DIEP RIVER CATCHMENT.....	102
TABLE 32-1 to 32-2. WATER QUALITY DATA, GROUNDWATER OF THE DIEP RIVER CATCHMENT.....	138
TABLE 33. WATER QUALITY DATA, COASTAL WATER OF THE DIEP RIVER CATCHMENT.....	144

## LIST OF FIGURES (Maps and other figures)

---

FIGURE 1. GENERAL MAP OF THE DIEP RIVER CATCHMENT.....	7
FIGURE 2. GEOLOGY.....	11
FIGURE 3. VEGETATION.....	15
FIGURE 4. ESTUARY FEATURES (RIETVLEI AND MILNERTON LAGOON).....	17
FIGURE 5. WATER RELATED INFRASTRUCTURE.....	20
FIGURE 6. LAND USE.....	31
FIGURE 7. AREAS (Km <sup>2</sup> ) UNDER CROPS WITHIN THE DIEP RIVER CATCHMENT.....	32
FIGURE 8. AVAILABLE LIVESTOCK TYPES WITHIN THE DIEP RIVER CATCHMENT.....	32
FIGURE 9. SURFACE WATER CHEMISTRY.....	39
FIGURE 10. GROUND WATER CHEMISTRY.....	41
FIGURE 11. COASTAL WATER AND SUITABILITY FOR RECREATIONAL USE.....	43
FIGURE 12. SUITABILITY OF WATER FOR DOMESTIC USE.....	47
FIGURE 13. A PLOT OF FAECAL POLLUTION AT THE DIEP RIVER ESTUARY MONITORING STATION.....	52
FIGURE 14. A PLOT OF FAECAL POLLUTION AT THE MILNERTON LIGHTHOUSE MONITORING STATION.....	53
FIGURE 15. SUITABILITY OF WATER FOR AGRICULTURE USE: IRRIGATION.....	55
FIGURE 16. SUITABILITY OF WATER FOR AGRICULTURE USE: LIVESTOCK WATERING.....	57
FIGURE 17. IN-STREAM BIOTIC HEALTH–MACROINVERTEBRATES.....	64
FIGURE 18. PLANT COVER IN THE ESTUARY.....	71

