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PREFACE

Water is typically the prime environmental medium (besides air) that is affected by mining activities. Mining adversely affects water quality and poses a significant risk to South Africa’s water resources. Mining operations can further substantially alter the hydrological and topographical characteristics of the mining areas and subsequently affect the surface runoff, soil moisture, evapo-transpiration and groundwater behaviour. Failure to manage impacts on water resources (surface and groundwater) in an acceptable manner throughout the life-of-mine and post-closure, on both a local and regional scale, will result in the mining industry finding it increasingly difficult to obtain community and government support for existing and future projects. Consequently, sound management practices to prevent or minimise water pollution are fundamental for mining operations to be sustainable.

Pro-active management of environmental impacts is required from the outset of mining activities. Internationally, principles of sustainable environmental management have developed rapidly in the past few years. Locally the Department of Water Affairs and Forestry (DWAF) and the mining industry have made major strides together in developing principles and approaches for the effective management of water within the industry. This has largely been achieved through the establishment of joint structures where problems have been discussed and addressed through co-operation.

The Bill of Rights in the Constitution of the Republic of South Africa, 1996 (Act 108 of 1996) enshrines the concept of sustainability; specifying rights regarding the environment, water, access to information and just administrative action. These rights and other requirements are further legislated through the National Water Act (NWA), 1998 (Act 36 of 1998). The latter is the primary statute providing the legal basis for water management in South Africa and has to ensure ecological integrity, economic growth and social equity when managing and using water. Use of water for mining and related activities is also regulated through regulations that were updated after the promulgation of the NWA (Government Notice No. GN704 dated 4 June 1999).

The NWA introduced the concept of Integrated Water Resource Management (IWRM), comprising all aspects of the water resource, including water quality, water quantity and the aquatic ecosystem quality (quality of the aquatic biota and in-stream and riparian habitat). The IWRM approach provides for both resource directed and source directed measures. Resource directed measures aim to protect and manage the receiving environment. Examples of resource directed actions are the formulation of resource quality objectives and the development of associated strategies to ensure ongoing attainment of these objectives; catchment management strategies and the establishment of catchment management agencies (CMAs) to implement these strategies.

On the other hand, source directed measures aim to control the impacts at source through the identification and implementation of pollution prevention, water reuse and water treatment mechanisms.

The integration of resource and source directed measures forms the basis of the **hierarchy of decision-taking** aimed at protecting the resource from waste impacts. This hierarchy is based on a **precautionary approach** and the following order of priority for mine water and waste management decisions and/or actions is applicable:
RESOURCE PROTECTION AND WASTE MANAGEMENT HIERARCHY

Step 1: Pollution Prevention

↓

Step 2: Minimisation of Impacts
- Water reuse and reclamation
- Water treatment

↓

Step 3: Discharge or disposal of waste and/or waste water
- Site specific risk based approach
- Polluter pays principle

The documentation describing Water Resource Protection and Waste Management in South Africa is being developed at a number of different levels, as described and illustrated in the schematic diagram below.

The overall Resource Protection and Waste Management Policy sets out the interpretation of policy and legal principles as well as functional and organisational arrangements for resource protection and waste management in South Africa.

Operational policies describe the rules applicable to different categories and aspects relating to waste discharge and disposal activities. Such activities from the mining sector are categorised and classified, based on their potential risks to the water environment.

Operational Guidelines contain the requirements for specific documents e.g. licence application reports.

Best Practice Guidelines (BPG’s) define and document best practices for water and waste management.

Schematic Diagram of the Mining Sector Resource Protection and Waste Management Strategy
The DWAF has developed a series of Best Practice Guidelines (BPGs) for mines in line with International Principles and Approaches towards sustainability. The series of BPGs have been grouped as outlined below:

**BEST PRACTICE GUIDELINES** dealing with aspects of DWAF’s water management **HIERARCHY** are prefaced with the letter **H**. The topics that are covered in these guidelines include:

- H1. Integrated Mine Water Management
- H2. Pollution Prevention and Minimisation of Impacts
- H3. Water Reuse and Reclamation
- H4. Water Treatment

**BEST PRACTICE GUIDELINES** dealing with **GENERAL** water management strategies, techniques and tools, which could be applied cross-sectoral and always prefaced by the letter **G**. The topics that are covered in these guidelines include:

- G1. Storm Water Management
- G2. Water and Salt Balances
- G3. Water Monitoring Systems
- G4. Impact Prediction

**BEST PRACTICE GUIDELINES** dealing with specific mining **ACTIVITIES** or **ASPECTS** and always prefaced by the letter **A**. These guidelines address the prevention and management of impacts from:

- A1. Small-scale Mining
- A2. Water Management for Mine Residue Deposits
- A3. Water Management in Hydrometallurgical Plants
- A4. Pollution Control Dams
- A5. Water Management for Surface Mines

The development of the guidelines is an inclusive consultative process that incorporates the input from a wide range of experts, including specialists within and outside the mining industry and government. The process of identifying which BPGs to prepare, who should participate in the preparation and consultative processes, and the approval of the BPGs was managed by a Project Steering Committee (PSC) with representation by key role-players.

The BPGs will perform the following functions within the hierarchy of decision making:

- Utilisation by the mining sector as input for compiling water use licence applications (and other legally required documents such as EMPs, EIAs, closure plans, etc.) and for drafting licence conditions.
- Serve as a uniform basis for negotiations through the licensing process prescribed by the NWA.
- Used specifically by DWAF personnel as a basis for negotiation with the mining industry, and likewise by the mining industry as a guideline as to what the DWAF considers as best practice in resource protection and waste management.
- Inform Interested and Affected Parties on good practice at mines.

The information contained in the BPGs will be transferred through a structured knowledge transfer process, which includes the following Steps:

- Workshops in key mining regions open to all interested parties, including representatives from the mining industry, government and the public.
- Provision of material to mining industry training groups for inclusion into standard employee training programmes.
- Provision of material to tertiary education institutions for inclusion into existing training programmes.
- Provision of electronic BPGs on the DWAF Internet web page.
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## 5 REFERENCES
Accurate water and salt balances are considered to be one of the most important and fundamental water management tools available to the mines. The purpose of water and salt balances includes:

• Providing the necessary information that will assist in defining and driving water management strategies.
• Auditing and assessment of the water reticulation system, with the main focus on water usage and pollution sources. This includes identifying and quantifying points of high water consumption or wastage, as well as pollution sources. Seepage and leakage points can also be identified and quantified when the balances are used as an auditing and assessment tool.
• Assisting with the design of storage requirements and minimising the risk of spillage.
• Assisting with the water management decision-making process by simulating and evaluating various water management strategies before implementation.

This guideline seeks to provide the necessary guidance for the development of water and salt balances by attainment of the following objectives:

• To develop a practical procedure to develop balances.
• To define what should be contained in the balances.
• To give guidance on the level of detail that a balance should contain.
• To define best practice for design, implementation and continuous management of a water and salt balance.
• To provide an assessment of tools that can be used to develop water and salt balances.
• To provide a practical worked example of a water and salt balance in which the principles discussed here have been applied.

The water and salt balances described in this Best Practice Guideline are based on the principles of conservation of mass and exclude advanced capabilities such as chemical speciation or dynamic time-based simulations.

The use of conservative salts in a salts balance is primarily aimed at assisting in developing and calculating the water balance. For contaminant balance that addresses the mine’s primary contaminants, typically sulphate and metals, the mine will be dealing with non-conservative salts and such balances will need to make use of geochemical and chemical speciation models as discussed in BPG G4: Impact Prediction.
Then water and salt balances are developed, the general principles that should be taken into consideration can be divided into procedural and technical aspects. The procedural principles that one should be aware of when developing water and salt balances are:

- Clear objectives should be defined for the water and salt balances. These should cater for the current situation and the probable or desired future situation, i.e. the objectives must cater for the life cycle of the mine.
- Large complex mines should preferably be divided into smaller management units. It is good practice to delegate the responsibility and authoritative power, for use of water and salt balances, for these smaller management units. It is also advisable to appoint a person who has responsibility for coordinating all the water and salt balances across the whole mine. Thus an overall integrated balance and separate balances for smaller units may be developed.
- To achieve a balance with an adequate resolution or degree of detail it is believed that for each type of circuit, the flows should be considered down to an accuracy level of 1% – 5% of the total flow. For example, for a mine water circuit with a total flow of 10 000 m³/day, all individual point and diffuse discharges with a flow of 1 – 5%, i.e. 100 – 500 m³/day, or more should be included in the balances.
- For the purpose of water management and taking account of measurement errors, an accuracy of 5 – 10% over a unit process and 10 – 15% for the overall mine is considered adequate. A calculated balance, i.e. where some of the flows or salt concentrations are not measured but determined by means of mass balance calculations, will give a 100% balance. A large part of the inaccuracy will be included in the calculated values.
- Common and uniform formats and procedures should be developed to ensure that the different management units could effectively exchange information.
- Regular updating of the balances, both in terms of adding new data and ensuring that the reticulation system reflects significant changes which have been made. This should be done as an iterative process to continuously obtain more accurate balances. As the understanding of the water and salt balance improves and water minimization measures are implemented, the water and salt balance should be refined to include more detail.

The following technical principles should be understood when water and salt balances are developed:

- The basic principle of mass conservation, which forms the foundation of mass balances. The principle can be simplified to the basic equation for a mass of species x and a process unit: (rate of x into process unit) = (rate of x out of process unit). The mass balance concept can be illustrated in a simplified manner as:

\[
\text{Total water in} = \text{Total water out}
\]

\[
A + B + C + D = E + F
\]
• The use of conservative and non-conservative salts. A conservative salt is considered to be one that will not undergo any significant changes (such as geochemical generation, precipitation and biological metabolism) within the unit process over which the mass balance is being constructed. Conservative salts e.g. sodium are used to construct the complete water and salt balance. The non-conservative salt or pollutant, e.g. sulphate, can be used to determine the pollution loads.

• Generating and evaluating water and salt balances together, enables one to calculate unknown flows through application of the principle of “simultaneous solution of equations”. The number of equations that can be developed for the specific balances limits the number of unknown flows that can be calculated.

• To account for seasonal changes within a water and salt balance, the hydrological years and their division into wet and dry seasons will need to be considered. Some statistical calculations with detailed rainfall records are required. Calculation will determine 5th percentile (dry), 50th percentile and 95th percentile (wet) flow and rain quantities to provide information on dry, typical and wet periods. Concentrations of pollutants based on the same percentiles can be used to calculate loads for worst-case scenarios, average conditions and best-case scenarios.

These principles will be discussed in more detail in the following sections and their application will be illustrated in a practical worked example.
3.1 Construction of the Water Balance Network

The recommended Steps to construct a water balance network are presented as flow diagrams in Figures 3.1, 3.2 and 3.3. These Steps will be discussed in the following sections. A practical worked example that illustrates how each of these Steps can be applied at a hypothetical mine is presented in Appendix A and examples will therefore not be repeated in this section.

Figure 3.1: Flow diagram of process to develop water and salt balances

- Define objectives of water & salt balance
- Define the boundaries
- Identify all the water circuits and develop schematic flow diagram
- Data collection and monitoring program:
  - Label streams
  - Collect and evaluate existing data
  - Identify areas with insufficient data
  - Develop monitoring program
  - Collect and assess new data
- Develop and solve balances for units (Fig. 3.2)
- Develop output format

Is a lower level of detail required?
  Yes: Develop and solve balances for lower level of detail (Fig. 3.3)
  No: Pass validation test?
    Yes: Identify problem and go to relevant step
    No: Ongoing management of balances

Use balances as water management tool
Figure 3.2: Flow diagram of process for Step 5

Figure 3.3: Flow diagram of process for Step 8
**Step 1: Define Objectives of Balances**

Defining the objectives of the water and salt balances is a very important Step. This Step will determine the boundaries of the balances, the level of detail required for the balances, the monitoring programme, the type of water and salt balance tools to be used as well as the output format of the results. This Step therefore influences the whole water and salt balance process and should therefore receive careful consideration.

The defined objectives should address the intended purpose of the water and salt balances, which will usually be either to function as a management tool to determine areas to be targeted for management and assess possible management measures or have an auditing function by assessing compliance with legislation or agreed management objectives. The intended purpose should be defined and described in detail, as this will help to determine the balances’ boundaries and level of detail that is required. The objective should also define the area for which the balances will be used, e.g. the whole mine, all the underground workings, or a specific shaft or plant. The objectives should finally determine who will use the balances and whether the balances are developed for specific parties, e.g. for management or DWAF.

One of the objectives with the development of water and salt balances as described in this document, is to assist the mine to manage its water and waste in a responsible manner. The implementation of water pricing and waste charges will in itself force mines to manage water responsibly and the water and salt balance can be of assistance in this regard.

The objectives of the balances are generally motivated by the impact that the mine's water has on the environment and/or to prevent an impact. It is therefore necessary to understand and assess the extent of this impact when the objectives are developed. At the start of a project (new mine or new project in an existing mine), it is usually difficult to define the objectives in detail, due to a lack of knowledge and data. The objectives should thus be reviewed and assessed on a regular basis as a better understanding of the water reticulation system develops. With the development of the objectives it should also be noted that the difficulties encountered might not be solved by only one set of water and salt balances. The balances should be updated regularly and reflect the dynamic process of change at the mine.

It is recommended to define the output format of the water and salt balances at the start of the project. This will enable all participants to produce the results in the correct and compatible format from the start and will prevent duplication. A discussion regarding the output format is presented under Step 9. Although the discussion and actual production of the output are situated near the end of the process it should be defined early in the process.

**Step 2: Define Boundaries of Individual Balances**

To develop a water and salt balance for a mine, a clear definition and understanding of the boundaries of the system under investigation, as well as the layout or reticulation of the water circuits, are required. Boundaries can generally be defined according to natural phenomena, e.g. catchment, topography and geography, or manmade processes, e.g. underground mine, waste disposal area and surface plants.

The natural boundaries are usually used to define the perimeters of the location relevant for calculation purposes, e.g. to determine runoff for an area it will be necessary to define the catchment boundaries. Manmade infrastructure can alter the boundaries and should also be taken into consideration. Besides the natural and manmade structures, the required level of detail plays an important role in defining the boundaries of the water and salt balances. The required detail is mainly defined by the objectives of the balances. The goals and objectives of the water and salt balances should thus be taken into consideration when the boundaries are being defined.

It will generally be beneficial to divide very large, complex systems into the following four main categories:

- Mining area (underground or pit) – water intake or waste water
- Plants and residue deposits – water intake or waste water
- Environmental systems – water courses and discharges etc
- Domestic water systems – potable supply and sewage management

Each of these categories can then be broken down into smaller management units; where a management unit is defined as a section, area or process that can be isolated to form a logical individual subsystem. The size of the management unit will depend on the level of detail required. On one level of detail the management units
may include the mine shafts, residue disposal areas and surface plants, while on a more detailed level the management units may include process units like a mud dam, settler and refrigeration plants.

A water and salt balance over the whole mine can be regarded as the lowest level of detail that describes the overall situation in a general and integrated manner. The boundary of the water and salt balances for this level of detail will enclose the entire mine as one management unit. The mine can be divided into smaller management units for a higher level of detail, as indicated in Figure 3.4.

Each of the different management units will require a separate water and salt balance. The balances can, however, not function in isolation and the interconnection between the various balances needs to be defined and taken into consideration.

If a higher level of detail is required, the previously defined categories can be divided into logical sub-management units, e.g. the mining area can be divided into its various shafts, i.e. 1 shaft, 2 shaft and 3 shaft. The boundaries for each of the water and salt balances will then encompass one sub-management unit. To obtain an even higher level of detail, previously defined subsections need to be

Figure 3.4: Boundaries of Management Units and Sub-units of Mine
divided into their different components, which will usually coincide with the major operating equipment, e.g. 1 shaft can be divided into stopes, fridge plants, settlers, clear water dams and mud dams. A water and salt balance must then be done for each of these unit processes.

Different degrees of detail may be required for the different management units, depending on the importance of the major management unit. The major management units will thus be broken down into different levels of detail.

**Step 3: Identify all Water Circuits and Develop Schematic Flow Diagram**

After the boundaries of the water and salt balances have been defined the development of the water and salt balances can start. The first Step in this process is to understand the layout of the water systems. This requires the identification of all the process units and flow paths within the identified boundaries.

It is usually easier to understand and interpret the water and salt balances if the water reticulation system is represented schematically as a flow diagram. The initial schematic representation needs to indicate all the water flow paths, as well as the existing monitoring points for the reticulation system. A complete and accurate schematic representation of the mine’s water circuits is required to ensure that all the relevant streams are included in the balances. The water circuits on gold and coal mines can be divided into the following broadly defined categories:

- Surface environmental circuits
- Groundwater environmental circuits
- Mining circuits (underground and opencast)
- Metallurgical plant circuits
- Discard dump circuits
- Slurry dam circuits (slimes or tailings)
- Coal washing plant circuits
- General domestic circuits

Constructed water flow paths, e.g. intake or discharge streams, are easily definable, but natural water flow paths, e.g. seepage, rain and evaporation, are more difficult to define. In the development of a generic definition of the water reticulation system, it is important to identify all the relevant flow paths, e.g. bed losses, evapotranspiration and influx of fissure water. Appendix B provides a list of flow paths that usually need to be taken into consideration when a water reticulation flow diagram is developed. To identify the flow paths, it is advisable to determine all the relevant flow paths for each process unit. A minimum level of detail is recommended, as shown in the schematic diagrams in Appendix B for some of the more common unit processes encountered in mine water circuits.

When the water reticulation system for the mine has been developed and all the relevant water circuits have been identified, the process of developing a monitoring programme and collecting the necessary data can commence.

**Step 4: Data Collection and Monitoring Programme**

To have an efficient monitoring programme and to ensure that the samples are referring to the correct sampling locations, it will be necessary to label all the streams. The labeling of the streams should preferably be done in a logical and systematic manner in accordance with a mine-wide approach.

To develop a water and salt balance it is necessary to collect data of flow rates, dam volumes and water quality relevant to the identified water circuits. Existing data needs to be evaluated in order to determine where flow and quality data are not available, or where the data is outdated, unreliable or insufficient. The areas in the water reticulation system where there are insufficient data must then be identified and a monitoring programme developed to collect sufficient data at these identified locations. Monitoring is discussed in detail in BPG G3: \textit{Water Monitoring Systems} and only a few relevant points will be mentioned here.

The level of monitoring needs to take into consideration the significance of the point relative to the overall water and salt balance and the accuracy required at the point, as discussed in Chapter 2. The monitoring programme should also take into consideration whether the water and salt balance is to assess missing flows or for compliance monitoring, which may have different requirements for location and accuracy.

To ensure that the correct water quality data is collected, it is important that the correct conservative salt(s) are identified before the monitoring programme is developed, as discussed in Chapter 2.

The integrity of the water and salt balances relies heavily on the accuracy of the collected data. It is thus important to ensure that the correct methodology is followed to
collect and interpret the data. Data collection is described in more detail in BPG G3: Water Monitoring Systems.

Values for some of the circuits, which need to be determined, cannot be measured directly or else the monitoring equipment to measure these values is too expensive. Some of the methods that can be applied to determine these values are discussed in Appendix C: Quantifying complex influences.

**Step 5: Develop and Solve Balances for Units**

**Step 5.1: Develop all Relevant Equations or Feed Data Into Computerised System**

Once all the required data have been collected and assessed, they can be used in the mathematical equations. If the water and salt balances are done manually or in a spreadsheet, it will require the development of the necessary equations over each unit, as discussed in Chapter 2 and illustrated in the example in Appendix A. If the water and salt balances are developed with the aid of a computer software package, the model for the specific balances must be developed first before the data can be fed in as input data for the computerised model.

The equations for water balances are based on the principle that the mass of water that enters a system must equal the mass of water that exits the system, with the prerequisite that no chemical reaction that changes the water quantity, has taken place within the system. Water circuits within gold and coal mines are usually not subject to chemical or physical reactions where the mass of water is either reduced or increased. The conservation of mass equation can be simplified under these circumstances:

$$\text{Total water in (volume flow rate)} = \text{Total water out (volume flow rate)}$$  \hspace{1cm} (5.1)

Equation 5.1 should be based on actual flow rate measurements where possible and must include all flow streams shown in schematic diagrams developed in terms of Step 3. If sufficient information is available, the non-determined flow rates, i.e. flow rates that have not been measured or determined by means of modelling or other calculations as indicated in Step 3, can be calculated from the balance. To solve the mathematical water balance, it will be necessary to develop \((n)\) equations for \((n)\) unknown variables, where \((n)\) indicates the number of equations or variables. Over one unit process, e.g. a settler, it is possible to develop one equation for the water balance, i.e. the sum of the flow rates for the inflow streams equals the sum of the flow rates for the outflow streams. For this single equation only one flow rate may be unknown to solve the equation. Together with the water balance equations for the other unit processes, if there are for example 7 unit processes in the management unit, it may be possible to develop 7 equations. With these seven equations it may be possible to calculate the unknown flow rates of seven streams. If there are more unknown variables than equations, it will be necessary to either implement a monitoring programme to measure the unknown flows or to make sound motivated technical and scientific assumptions. The water balances can also be solved by means of simultaneous solution if the equations for the salt balance have been developed.

The same basic principles for the water balance are applicable to the salt balance, i.e. the conservation of mass across a system:

$$\text{Total salt load in} = \text{Total salt load out}$$  \hspace{1cm} (5.2)

where:

$$\text{Salt load (kg/day)} = \text{Flow (m}^3/\text{day}) \times \text{Salt concentration (kg/m}^3\text{)}$$

$$= \text{Flow (m}^3/\text{day}) \times 0.001 \times \text{Salt concentration (mg/l)}$$  \hspace{1cm} (5.3)

Each of the water circuits must have a salt concentration, which will be used together with the flow rate to determine the salt load of each circuit, as indicated in equation 5.3. The “salt concentration” may either refer to the concentration of a specific salt or the TDS concentration. The concentrations of the various salts and the TDS are determined fairly accurately in a laboratory. Formulae for the various units need to be developed based on equation 5.2. These formulae can be used in conjunction with the formulae developed for the water balances in order to solve the equations simultaneously, provided that there are \((n)\) equations for \((n)\) unknown variables. An example of how this method is applied is illustrated with the solving of equations 11 - 14 in the worked example in Appendix A.

Although it is theoretically possible to calculate three unknowns by developing equations with flow and two salts, this is not recommended, as it will lead to increased error. There are limitations as to when more than one salt can be used for simultaneous solutions of equations, i.e. one needs to be sure that the different salts do not describe the same equation. When selecting the salt to use in the salt balance for the determination of missing
streams, it is important to ensure that it is a conservative salt such as Na, Cl, etc. Although TDS or EC includes non-conservative salts, it is often used for salt balance purposes. Salts such as sulphate are generally not used in mining water and salt balances to determine missing streams or data, unless sulphate generation from pyrite oxidation is explicitly included as a salt load input. It is, however, more appropriate to use TDS, EC and sulphate in salt balances when pollution loads and discharge compliance requirements are under consideration.

Elements such as calcium, phosphate, nitrate, heavy metals and other compounds are regarded as non-conservative salts as they are usually subject to biological metabolisation or chemical precipitation. These elements cannot be used in a salt balance unless factors can be applied which account for the metabolic and precipitated losses.

Iterative Process to Develop Balance

The solving of the water and salt balances is an iterative process, which consists of the following Steps, as indicated in Figure 3.2:

- Develop preliminary balance
- Assess accuracy of preliminary balance
- Identify causes of imbalances
- Address causes of imbalances
- Calculate balance with new values

Step 5.2: Develop Preliminary Balance

In order to obtain a balance over a management unit, the equations for the various process units within the management unit need to be calculated by means of simultaneous solution, as discussed in Chapter 2 and illustrated in the example of Appendix A, either manually or by means of a computerised system. If all the data for a specific process unit have been measured, it is highly unlikely that an exact balance will be obtained over the unit, due to inaccuracies of measuring/monitoring equipment. Unknown data can be calculated with the simultaneous solution of equations, taking into consideration that the amount of unknown variables must equal the amount of equations. The calculations of the equations will also have to take the interconnecting streams between the various process units into consideration, as the inlet stream of one process unit may for example require the calculated value of an outflow stream of another process unit.

Step 5.3: Assess Accuracy of Balance

After a preliminary balance has been developed and an attempt to solve all the equations has been made, it will be necessary to assess the accuracy of the balance. The balance over each of the units needs to be more than 90% accurate to be regarded as sufficient. The method to determine the accuracy of a balance is discussed under Step 10. If an imbalance greater than 10% is found, the causes of the imbalance must be identified. If the imbalance is less than 10%, the accuracy of the overall balance needs to be assessed, as discussed under Step 10.

Step 5.4: Identify Causes of Imbalances

When all the equations have been calculated for each of the process units, the streams and units where there are imbalances need to be identified and the causes of the imbalances must be determined. The following Steps give an indication of some of the areas to consider during the process to identify the causes of an imbalance:

- Account for all the circuits in the schematic diagrams and the equations.
- Re-assess and evaluate the process flow diagrams, especially the layout; and confirm that the streams linking the various units are correct and that there are no omissions or addition of units.
- Check all the calculations and make sure that the equations for each unit are correct.
- Check the data collection and monitoring methodology.
- Check the calibration of the monitoring and measuring instrumentation.
- Assess the validity of the collected data, including the water quality analyses and reporting from the laboratory.
- Re-evaluate the validity of all the assumptions and try to eliminate the uncertainties by collecting more information or data, where possible.

Step 5.5: Address Causes of Imbalances

If additional data is required, the correct flow and water quality monitoring points need to be identified. The monitoring programme must be updated and the required data collected. The water and salt balances must then be updated with the additional data.
Step 5.6: Calculate New Balance

The additional data that are used in the calculations, will result in new values for the water and salt balance. The newly calculated water and salt balances need to be assessed for any imbalances as indicated in Step 5.3. The process to minimise the imbalance is, therefore, an iterative process where problem areas are identified from the water and salt balance results, and the water and salt balances are updated with the additional data. When the required level of accuracy is reached, as discussed in Step 5.3, the iterative process can be stopped and the final water and salt balance can be produced.

Step 6: Develop Output Format

The output format for the balances with a higher level of detail is based on the same principles as for the balances with a lower level of detail. The output format for the balances with a lower level of detail is discussed under Step 9 and will not be repeated here.

Step 7: Assess Level of Detail Required

The objectives, which have been defined at the beginning of the project, will determine the level of detail required for the specific water and salt balance. Objectives from different departments and management often require water and salt balances with different degrees of detail. If a lower level of detail is required it will be necessary to integrate the different units as discussed under Step 8.

If a lower level of detail is not required a final validation assessment is required, as discussed under Step 10.

Steps 8.1 – 8.8: Develop and Solve Balances for Lower Level of Detail

If, in Steps 1 and 2, it was decided to divide the overall mine water balance into different sub-management units, then, once each sub-management unit has been balanced, it will be necessary to establish and validate the linkages between them. Any inconsistency with linkages between two balances needs to be identified. Causes for these inconsistencies must be determined and should be addressed accordingly. This process is similar to that discussed under Step 5.4 and will lead to a preliminary water and salt balance. The process to develop water and salt balances for the integrated management units is depicted in Figure 3.3 and is similar to that for the individual management units as discussed under Step 5 and illustrated in Figure 3.2, and will not be repeated here. The difference that should be noted is that this balance integrates the results from balances with a higher level of detail, to produce balances with a lower level of detail.

This integration of all the water and salt balances on each of the different levels of detail will result in a hierarchy of water and salt balances that have different degrees of detail, where the overall mine water and salt balance has the lowest degree of detail and the water and salt balance for a process unit will have the highest degree of detail. This is illustrated in Figure 3.4. The various levels of detail enable the overall water manager to focus on the global aspects of the mine while other responsible persons can manage their individual plants or shafts at a much greater level of detail.

The integration of all the different water and salt balances on one level, as well as different levels can become very complex, due to the interactions of models developed by different people. It is, therefore, suggested that a standard format for water and salt balance models be developed for a mining company. This standard format needs to address aspects such as:

- Layout and flow diagrams.
- Identification of streams and units, i.e. names and labels.
- Electronic format, e.g. software to be used.
- Format of input and output data.

Step 9: Define and Develop all Output Formats

The output data of the water and salt balances consists of the results of the calculations and needs to be presented in a user-friendly format. Lists and tables are usually not effective for this type of presentation, and should be avoided as far as possible. Schematic and graphical formats are more appropriate ways to present the results. The presentation of the results as well as the detail of data to be presented will depend on the objectives set out at the beginning of the process in Step 1. The mine's management team will, for example, require less detail for the overall water management of the mine, and it will most likely be based on the water and salt balance for the entire mine. The mine's environmental specialist, on the other hand, needs to identify specific pollution sources, which will most likely require detailed water and salt balances. As part of the quality assurance program, the output format should be assessed to ensure that it achieves the desired information transfer.
**Figure 3.5: Pie Chart showing salt loads from different sources**
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**Figure 3.6: Bar Chart with salt loads**
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The most commonly used format for presenting data for a single scenario is to plot the flow and quality data on the schematic line diagram, as illustrated in Figures A9 and A10 in the example of Appendix A. Caution must be taken not to clutter the diagram with too much information, and to indicate clearly which data belongs to which stream. This method of presentation has the advantage that one can follow the various water circuits and see changes of water qualities over units easily. To maintain this advantage, the data must be indicated on the diagram itself and not referenced as a list. Presenting the data on the schematic line diagram is, however, limited to single scenarios and is not suitable for comparing different scenarios with each other.

When the salt load contributions of various points are to be compared, it is useful to present the results by means of pie charts or bar charts, as illustrated in Figures 3.5 and 3.6. The total salt load at various points can be presented on the same pie chart or bar graph. This makes it easy to compare the different salt loads with each other and determine where the main sources of pollution are.

When different management scenarios are to be compared, it is feasible to present the results as bar charts or graphs, as illustrated in Figures 3.6 and 3.7. Such graphs and charts are especially useful to depict time series data, e.g. monthly updated water and salt balances or the influence of storage facilities, which makes the assessment and interpretation of trends relatively easy. The graphs can also be used to plot predicted future time series data when what-if scenarios have been implemented. If both historic time series data and future time series data, e.g. water flow and quality before and after (or predicted after) the implementation of remediation actions, are presented on the same graph, one can deduce the effect of the remediation actions on the water flow and quality with relative ease. Graphs can be used to present various options, e.g. changes in water flow and quality over time at one point or changes in water quality over time at various points.

Steps 10 & 11: Validate the Water and Salt Balance Model and Data

To avoid a fatal flaw from consistently being ignored, it will be necessary to validate the data, calibrate the instrumentation and interpret the analyses on a regular basis.

The validation of the data can be accomplished by calculating the flow rate and salt load at one of the main streams that has been monitored as well. Comparing the calculated values with the monitored values will give an indication of the accuracy of the data. If the difference between the values is more than 5% - 10%, the accuracy of the data is questionable and the cause needs to be determined by adopting an approach similar to the process as discussed under Step 5.4.

The water and salt balance can also be validated by calculating the flow rates and salt load values for...
the same pathway with two different approaches. This can be done for example by calculating the flow rate and salt load for the clear water outflow stream from a settler, by means of separate balances over the settler and the clear water dam. If the difference between the two calculated values is more than 5% - 10% the accuracy of the balance is questionable and the cause of the imbalance needs to be determined, similar to the process as discussed under Step 5.4.

It is recommended to validate data for more than one stream, as this will increase the confidence in the balance. The more data that is validated, the higher the confidence in the balance, as more values are double checked. The validation of more data may require more monitoring points and an optimum trade off between the required level of confidence and the additional monitoring costs should be determined.

3.2 Ongoing Management and Use of Water and Salt Balances

Once a suitably accurate water and salt balance has been prepared, it is important that a review and management programme be developed and implemented in order to actively manage the water systems on the basis of the information provided by the balances. Key elements of such an ongoing management system include:

- Develop and define evaluation and action criteria for balance outputs.
- Develop and implement management structures to collect data, prepare balances, keep balance networks up to date and evaluate balance outputs.
- Develop balance reporting systems.

In terms of the first element of the management system, it is important to identify key points within the overall balance system where attention can be focused. As most mining water reticulation systems are very complex, failure to do this may lead to a situation where the water management staff are completely overloaded with information to the point where critical data are missed. It is recommended that the following types of evaluation and action criteria are developed:

- Confirm that all process units and the overall system are in balance.
- Confirm that linkages between different management units are consistent in terms of data values.
- Identify key input and output flow paths and set norms/standards for the flow and salt load values at these points - evaluate data in terms of compliance with these standards.

It is very important to ensure that the water and salt balances are regularly updated with the latest data according to a defined monitoring programme. To ensure that this happens, a responsible person should be appointed to collect the data according to a prescribed protocol, which covers all elements of the data collection and reporting system. It should thus be noted that water and salt balances are dynamic in that they may change frequently in accordance with changes at the site.

While data is being collected, it should be reviewed on a regular basis to identify trends. Once a suitable data set has been developed (i.e. 12 - 24 months of data), the complete data set should be reviewed. The purpose of such a review would be to confirm the identified trends in the data and to undertake a thorough statistical analysis of the data. These actions will enable one to define an average value and the range around this average that can be considered to represent deviations consistent with normal operating conditions. The effect of seasonal changes should be taken into consideration when the data is interpreted. This information can then be used to set action limits that indicate deviation from normal operating conditions for key points within the system. The water and salt balance system can then be managed by deviation from set points.

Once the water and salt balance system is operating smoothly, it should then be used as a water management tool, which may include:

- Identification of points within the system where water management practices can be improved. This may include auditing the water usage from various sources and identifying points of high water consumption or wastage.
- Assisting with identifying and quantifying water storage requirements.
- Simulating positive or negative effects of various management options before they are implemented. In fact, water management options and changes to the water reticulation system should not be implemented before their effects have first been evaluated using the water and salt balances.
- Assisting with design and optimisation of a water monitoring system.
- Assisting with locating and quantifying seepage and leakage, as well as pollution sources.
Various tools exist for the preparation of water and salt balances. They can be grouped into four categories:

1. Manual calculations
2. Spreadsheet based models and calculations
3. Stand alone PC-based software programs
4. High-end engineering software

The different types of water and salt balance tools have different applications and are therefore suitable for different scenarios. To determine which of the tools to use in a specific situation one should assess the advantages and disadvantages of each of the tools and take the objectives (Step 1) that must be achieved into consideration.

4.1 Manual Calculations of Water and Salt Balances

Manual calculations are most suitable for a screening level scenario where answers are required relatively quickly and only on a once-off basis. The water and salt balances should be of a small scale and relatively simple. The advantages of manually calculated water and salt balances are:

- The results of the water and salt balances can be produced relatively quickly, if the system is small and simple.
- No specialized equipment, e.g. computers and software, besides a hand calculator is required.

The disadvantages of manually calculated water and salt balances are:

- For larger and more complex water and salt balances the calculations are time consuming.
- The calculations are more prone to human error.
- Presentation of the results is time consuming.
- Repetitive calculations are time consuming, which makes the modeling of different scenarios cumbersome.

4.2 Spreadsheet Based Balances

Spreadsheet based water and salt balances are suitable for a wide variety of water and salt balances, which are relatively uncomplicated. The advantages of these types of balances are:

- Calculations are done automatically.
- Data and results can be viewed relatively easy in various ways, e.g. graphs or tables.
- Data transfer is easy.

The disadvantages of spreadsheet based water and salt balances are:

- Setting-up the spreadsheets is time consuming and very costly.
- Changes in the layout of the system and/or the flow diagrams may require a redesign and complete overhaul of the spreadsheets.
- An external consultant or an in-house specialist is usually required to develop the model and update it when necessary.
• Spreadsheets are not well suited for use as a management tool due to the difficulty of evaluating different management options.

If the water and salt balance system is to perform a useful management function it must be able to be changed to allow the evaluation of different management options or questions. This desire for flexibility will preclude the use of manually calculated balances and also makes spreadsheet-based systems very difficult and costly to use, unless the designer of the spreadsheet is the same mine employee who has responsibility for water and salt balances.

4.3 Stand-alone PC-based Software Programs

Software programmes that have been specifically designed for water and salt balances are suitable for the type of water and salt balances as discussed under Step 5.1. It is mostly used for large, complex reticulation systems, due to the fact that manual and spreadsheet based tools are cumbersome for these conditions. The advantages of software programmes for water and salt balances are:

• They are user friendly and usually Windows based.
• Easy to draw flow diagrams and to feed input data.
• Changes to data and flow diagrams can be done easily and instantaneously.
• Calculations are done automatically.
• Data can be viewed instantaneously after each calculation in various output formats, e.g. spreadsheet and flow diagram format.
• Allows for "what-if" scenarios.
• Can create balances with large amount of streams and high degree of complexity.
• Can be used effectively as a water management tool.
• Cheaper than spreadsheet systems due to ease of use and time saving.

The disadvantages of software programmes for water and salt balances are:

• The relevant software package must be purchased.
• Training to use the software package is required.
• The assumptions and limitations inherent in the software package must be understood and taken into consideration.

4.4 High-end Engineering Software

High-end engineering software is software developed for engineering design purposes which includes the calculation of mass balances. Water and salt balances can therefore be developed with high-end engineering software, as water and salt balances form a sub-section of the mass balances. These software programmes are generally used under the same conditions that are applicable for the specialised software programmes discussed under 4.3. The advantages of the high-end engineering programmes are the same as for the specialised software programmes as indicated in 4.3. The disadvantages of these programmes are:

• Need to purchase very expensive software.
• Require specialist training to use the software.
• Data requirements may be of a higher-order than for the software mentioned in 4.3.
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bedloss: Loss of water from a river through the river bed; usually occurs at geological faults and dykes.

chemical precipitation: When solutions of two different electrolytes are mixed, it is sometimes observed that an insoluble solid comes out of the solution. This solid is referred to as a precipitate. The chemical reaction is called a precipitation reaction. (Masterton, 1985)

closed water circuit: Water circuits which are not exposed to the natural environment, e.g. pipes and covered tanks.

conservative salt: A salt that will not undergo any changes such as geochemical generation, biological metabolism, chemical precipitation or dissolution.

constructed water flow paths: Man-made water transport mechanisms, for example channels and pipes.

decant: Re-stabilisation of groundwater during and after mining will result in artificial discharge into surface water systems as well as groundwater systems.

dry-bulb temperature: Sum of the thermometer temperature and the surrounding temperature.

electrical conductivity (EC): Ions in a water solution conduct electrical currents. The more ions present in the water, the higher the electrical conductance and vice versa. The electrical conductance (EC) of a solution is thus an indication of the amount of ions present in the solution.

enthalpy: Enthalpy is explicitly defined for any system by the mathematical expression: $H = U + PV$, where $U =$ internal energy, $P =$ absolute pressure and $V =$ volume. (Smith, 1988)

environmental circuit: Natural water systems that are present within the boundaries of the mine are defined as environmental circuits, like natural dams, wetlands, rivers and aquifers.

evapotranspiration: Actual evapotranspiration is a measure of solar radiation, temperature and rainfall; it is the amount of water pumped into the atmosphere by evaporation from the ground and by transpiration from the vegetation (Krebs, 1985).

interstitial water: Subsurface water in an interstice, sometimes referred to as pore water. The interstice is an opening or space in the soil that is not occupied by solid matter. (Gary, 1977)

iterative process: A mathematical iterative process can be described as a cyclical process. A value is estimated and used in the equations to determine an answer. The answer is evaluated and if the accuracy is not acceptable a new value is used in the equations to determine a new answer. This process continues until an answer with an acceptable accuracy is obtained.

moisture content (air): Mass of water vapour associated with unit mass of dry air.
non conservative salt: A salt which will undergo changes such as geochemical generation, biological metabolism, chemical precipitation or dissolution.

open water circuit: Water circuits that are open to the natural environment, e.g. rivers, dams and channels.

ore surface moisture: Layer of water on the surface of solid material.

precipitation: The discharge of water (as rain, snow, hail, or sleet) from the atmosphere upon the earth's surface. (Gary, 1977)

psychrometry: The thermodynamics of mixtures of air and water vapour.

pyrite oxidation: Iron disulphide (FeS₂), generally in the form of the mineral pyrite, is a common constituent not only in coal but also of adjacent shales, slates, sandstones and other sedimentary rocks. In the undisturbed state, pyrite remains unchanged but when disturbed and exposed to air/oxygen it undergoes weathering with accompanying production of acidity. (Chamber of Mines of South Africa, 1981)

runoff: Surface runoff is defined as the precipitation that finds its way into the stream channel without infiltration into the soil.

seepage: The act or process involving the slow movement of water or another fluid through a porous material such as soil. (Gary, 1977)

simultaneous solution: A set of equations can be solved by means of simultaneous solution if it is possible to develop the same number of equations as the number of unknown variables for the system. For example, if two equations can be developed for the two unknown variables a and b, e.g. \(a + b = 20\) and \(a = 0.5b\), then the two equations can be solved by substituting \(a\) in the first equation with the second equation. Thus \(0.5b + b = 20\) and \(b = 13.3\). The value for \(b\) can then be substituted in the first equation and \(a\) can be solved as \(a = 6.7\).

stream: A flow of water from one facility or natural feature to another with clear boundaries is referred to as a stream. A stream will for example refer to water flowing in a pipe from a dam to a river or water flowing in a channel from a tailings dam to an evaporation dam or the flow in a watercourse.

total dissolved solids (TDS): A concentration term used to express the total amount of dissolved solids in a solution (normally expressed in mg/l).

unit, management: A management unit is defined as an area or process that forms a logical individual subsystem that can be isolated and have defined boundaries for water and salt balances.

vapour pressure: A liquid placed in a container will partially evaporate to establish a pressure of vapor above the liquid. The pressure established depends on the nature of the liquid and is, at equilibrium, constant at any given temperature. (Maron, 1974)

wet-bulb temperature: A wet-bulb thermometer consists of an ordinary thermometer, the bulb of which is covered with a cotton gauze, which is kept wet. Evaporation of water from the wet gauze results in the bulb being cooled to a temperature below the air temperature. The extent of the drop in temperature is a measure of the vapour pressure. (Hemp, 1982)
# shaft
A constant (= 0.000644 °C)
CW clear water
CWD clear water dam
EC electrical conductivity
f constant (= 1.0048)
FW fissure water
\( H'_{\text{w}l} \) enthalpy of water vapour at wet-bulb temperature (kJ/kg)
\( H'_{\text{w}o} \) enthalpy of water vapour at wet-bulb temperature (kJ/kg)
\( H'_{\text{d}i} \) enthalpy of dry air at dry-bulb temperature (kJ/kg)
\( H'_{\text{d}o} \) enthalpy of dry air at wet-bulb temperature (kJ/kg)
M mud
M_a molecular mass of air (= 28.9664)
MD mud dam
M_v molecular mass of water (= 18.016)
n number/amount of
P pressure (kPa)
\( P_w \) vapour pressure (kPa)
\( P_{\text{w}s} \) saturated vapour pressure (kPa)
\( r_i \) actual moisture content of air at wet-bulb temperature (kg/kg dry air)
\( r_o \) moisture content of saturated air at wet-bulb temperature (kg/kg dry air)
RSW return service water
SW service water
\( t_{\text{d}b} \) dry-bulb temperature (°C)
TDS total dissolved solids
\( t_{\text{w}b} \) wet-bulb temperature (°C)
US EPA United States Environmental Protection Agency
v specific volume (m³/kg dry air)
w density (kg/m³)
WRC Water Research Commission
The following example gives an indication of how the methodology of water and salt balances is applied in a hypothetical case study. Figure A1 presents the layout of the whole mine. The specific example uses a gold mine, but the same principles apply to water and salt balances on other class A mines.

### Step 1: Define Objectives and Output Format

The following objectives have been defined for the water and salt balances:

Water and salt balances are required for a gold mine to be used by the environmental manager to obtain an understanding of the water systems to assist with the development of a water management plan for the whole mine. Water and salt balances are also required by the environmental specialist to develop a water management plan for 1 shaft and to identify and quantify pollution sources. The water and salt balance can then also be used by management to assess the mine’s compliance with the relevant legislation and its licenses.

It was decided that a schematic line diagram would be sufficient for this first order balance.

### Step 2: Define the Boundaries

The environmental manager requires water and salt balances for the whole mine. The boundary for these water and salt balances will thus enclose the entire mine, and can be subdivided into its main components, as indicated in Figure A1.

The environmental specialist will require detailed water and salt balances for 1 shaft, which will be subdivided into the main operating equipment, as illustrated in Figure A2.

### Step 3: Identify all the Water Circuits and Develop Schematic Flow Diagram

The identification of all the relevant water circuits will require a more detailed investigation by the environmental specialist, than that which is required by the environmental manager. The investigation by the environmental manager will focus on the circuits as indicated in Figure A1, while the focus of the environmental specialist will be on the water circuits as indicated in Figure A2. The environmental specialist regarded seepage as insignificant. The net-evaporation from each open system has been identified, but to make the drawing less cluttered the evaporative losses are indicated as one stream, excluding the evaporation from the refrigeration plant.

Examples of the schematic line diagrams, which have been developed for the two different balances, are indicated in Figures A1 and A2. From the figures it is clear that different levels of detail are required for different applications of water and salt balances.

### Step 4: Data Collection and Monitoring Program

The stream labels that were allocated by the environmental specialist are indicated in Figure A2. The development of a monitoring program, collection of data and the assessment of the data will be discussed in detail in *Best Practice Guideline G3: Water Monitoring Systems*. These aspects are also discussed in *A Manual to Assess and Manage the Impact of Gold Mining Operations on the Surface Water Environment* (Pulles, W, 1996).

Sodium (Na) was identified as the conservative salt. An example of typical results from a data collection program for the gold mine, used in this example, is indicated in Table A1. The data for the refrigeration plant on level 3 was received from the supplier.
Step 5: Develop and Solve Balances for Units

The development of equations and the mathematical calculations will be done manually for this example in order to indicate the Steps that need to be followed. The detailed water and salt balances for 1 shaft will be done, as this will supply information for the water and salt balances with a lower level of detail, i.e. the water and salt balances for the environmental manager.

All the process units and water streams have already been identified in Steps 2 and 3. No reactions are present within the boundaries of the defined system that will remove or add sodium. Sodium will therefore be used as the conservative salt to develop the water and salt balance.

It is difficult to determine the exact evaporation rate for each of the process units with psychrometric principles, due to the fact that the air flow rate at each point is not known. The air flow rate varies infrequently, which makes it difficult and uneconomical to measure for an average air flow rate. The environmental specialist also determined that it would not be cost effective to measure and monitor evaporation at each of the process units. It was decided to calculate the overall evaporation in the mine with psychrometric principles. This value can then be compared with a value calculated by means of the water and salt balances.

Figure A1: Boundaries of Balances for Sub-sections of Mine
Figure A2: Boundaries of Balances for Sub-sections of 1 Shaft
### Table A1: Data Collected at the Gold Mine

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Stream</th>
<th>Stream Label</th>
<th>Flow (m³/day)</th>
<th>Na Conc. (mg/l)</th>
<th>Na Load (kg/day)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Clear Water Dam (1 Level)</td>
<td>Clear Water to surface</td>
<td>CW1</td>
<td>2 400</td>
<td>2 830</td>
<td>6 793</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mud Dam (1 Level)</td>
<td>Mud to surface</td>
<td>M1</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>3 683</td>
<td>534</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clear Water Dam (2 Level)</td>
<td>Service Water</td>
<td>SW2</td>
<td>874</td>
<td>3 103</td>
<td>2 712</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Settler (3 Level)</td>
<td>Fissure Water</td>
<td>FW3</td>
<td>N/M</td>
<td>4 892</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Return Service Water</td>
<td>RSW3</td>
<td>N/M</td>
<td>6 905</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Combined Fissure and Return</td>
<td>FR3</td>
<td>N/M</td>
<td>6 355</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Service Water</td>
<td>SW2</td>
<td>874</td>
<td>3 103</td>
<td>2 712</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mud to surface</td>
<td>M1</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>3 683</td>
<td>534</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fissure Water</td>
<td>FW3</td>
<td>N/M</td>
<td>4 892</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Return Service Water</td>
<td>RSW3</td>
<td>N/M</td>
<td>6 905</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Combined Fissure and Return</td>
<td>FR3</td>
<td>N/M</td>
<td>6 355</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Clear Water from 2 Shaft</td>
<td>2#3</td>
<td>2 123</td>
<td>3 367</td>
<td>7 148</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Clear Water from 3 Shaft</td>
<td>3#3</td>
<td>1 489</td>
<td>3 794</td>
<td>5 650</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refrigeration Plant (3 Level)</td>
<td>Service Water</td>
<td>SW3</td>
<td>3 750</td>
<td>2 889</td>
<td>10 833</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Potable Water</td>
<td>PW3</td>
<td>2 628</td>
<td>620</td>
<td>1 629</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Evaporation</td>
<td>ERP3</td>
<td>1 846</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Condensation</td>
<td>C3</td>
<td>430</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Leakage</td>
<td>L3</td>
<td>967</td>
<td>4 250</td>
<td>4 110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Blow Down</td>
<td>BD3</td>
<td>1 766</td>
<td>4 250</td>
<td>7 506</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clear Water Dam (4 Level)</td>
<td>Clear Water to 2 Level</td>
<td>CW4</td>
<td>3 124</td>
<td>2 865</td>
<td>8 950</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mud Dam (4 Level)</td>
<td>Mud to 2 Level</td>
<td>M4</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>3 025</td>
<td>481</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Settler (5 Level)</td>
<td>Fissure Water</td>
<td>FW5</td>
<td>N/M</td>
<td>2 647</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Return Service Water</td>
<td>RSW5</td>
<td>975</td>
<td>3748</td>
<td>3 654</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Clear Water from 3 Shaft</td>
<td>3#5</td>
<td>2 615</td>
<td>2 143</td>
<td>5 604</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clear Water Dam (6 Level)</td>
<td>Clear Water to 3 Level</td>
<td>CW6</td>
<td>3 647</td>
<td>2 565</td>
<td>9 354</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mud Dam (6 Level)</td>
<td>Mud to 3 Level</td>
<td>M6</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>N/M</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

N/M = Not Measured

The Na load column has been calculated from the flow rate and the concentration:

\[
\text{Load (kg/d) } = \text{Flow rate (m}^3\text{/d) } \times \text{Concentration (0.001mg/l)}
\]

### Evaporative losses

The following data were collected in order to determine the relevant psychrometric properties:

- Wet-bulb temperature \( t_{\text{wb}} = 27.5 \, ^\circ C \)
- Dry-bulb temperature \( t_{\text{db}} = 35.0 \, ^\circ C \)
- Pressure \( P = 117.5 \, \text{kPa} \)
- Air flow = 180 m³/s or 15 552 000 m³/day

### Calculations:

Using \( t_{\text{wb}} \) in equation (3) calculate the saturated vapour pressure

\[
P'_{\text{ws}} = 0.6105 \exp \left( 17.27 \times 27.5(237.3 + 27.5) \right) = 3.669 \, \text{kPa}
\]

Using \( P \) and \( P'_{\text{ws}} \) in equation (2)

\[
\text{Moisture content } r_0 = \frac{0.622 \times 3.669}{117.5 - 3.669} = \frac{2.2005}{113.84} = 0.02005 \, \text{kg/kg}
\]

### Enthalpy

Using equation (4)

\[
H_{\text{sw}} = 1.005 \times 27.5 = 27.64 \, \text{kJ/kg}
\]

Using equation (5)

\[
H'_{\text{sw}} = 1.005 \times 35.0 = 35.18 \, \text{kJ/kg}
\]

Using equation (6)

\[
H''_{\text{sw}} = 115.3 \, \text{kJ/kg}
\]
Using equation (7)
\[ H'_{w0} = 2551.1 \text{ kJ/kg} \]

Using equation (8)
\[ H'_{wi} = 2564.62 \text{ kJ/kg} \]

Using equation (9)
\[ r_i = \frac{0.02005 (2551 - 115.3) - (35.18 - 27.64)}{2564.62 - 115.3} \]
\[ = 0.0169 \text{ kg/kg} \]

Calculate the vapour pressure
\[ P_w = r_i P \]
\[ = 0.0169 \times 117.5 \]
\[ = 0.622 + 0.0169 \]
\[ = 3.108 \text{ kPa} \]

Calculate the specific volume
\[ v = \frac{0.287035 T}{P - P_w} \]
\[ = \frac{0.287035 (273.15 + 35.0)}{117.5 - 3.108} \]
\[ = 0.7732 \text{ m}^3/\text{kg} \]

For an air volume of 15 552 000 m\(^3\) the mass of dry air
\[ = 15 552 000 \times \frac{20.113812}{0.7732} = 20 \text{ 113 812 kg} \]

The mass of water
\[ = 20 \text{ 113 812} \times 0.0169 \]
\[ = 339 923 \text{ kg} \]

The rate of water loss through evaporation is thus
\[ 340 \text{ m}^3/\text{day} \]

The water and salt balance for each of the process units can now be developed. Evaporation losses from each of the process units will not be included at the units, as discussed above.

The following conventions are used in the equations:
- Unknown variables are underlined
- Footnotes:
  - F = Flow (m\(^3\)/d)
  - L = Salt load (kg/d)
  - C = TDS concentration (kg/m\(^3\))

The storage capacities of the dams are relatively small and have an insignificant influence on the monthly variations. Time considerations, due to storage, are therefore not relevant under these conditions.

### Settler, Clear Water Dam and Mud Dam on Levels 5 and 6

The schematic diagram for the settler on 5 level and its associated clear water dam and mud dam is indicated in Figure A3. The label for each stream is indicated in brackets.

**Figure A3: Schematic Diagram for Settler, Clear Water Dam and Mud Dam on Levels 5 and 6**

This system combines three of the process units which have been defined in Step 2. If all three systems are combined as one, only the two streams SCW5 and SM5 will not be calculated. These two streams are assumed to be respectively equal to the effluent streams of the clear water dam and the mud dam. The salt load entering the settler will also equal the salt load in the effluent streams, i.e. CW6 and M6. It is, therefore, more appropriate to prepare a water and salt balance over all three process units. The only unknown data for this system are the quality of the mud (M6\(_L\)) and the flow rate of the fissure water (FW5\(_F\)).

The two equations relevant for the process units are:

**water balance:**
\[ FW_5 + RSW_5 + 3#_5 = CW_6 + M_6 \quad (1) \]
\[ FW_5 = (3 647 + 93) \cdot (975 + 2 615) \]
\[ FW_5 = 150 \text{ m}^3/\text{d} \]
and:
\[ FW = FW_5 \cdot FW_5 = 150 \times 2.647 = 397 \text{ kg/d} \]

**salt balance:**
\[ FW_5 + RSW_5 + 3#_5 = CW_6 + M_6 \quad (2) \]
\[ M_6 = (397 + 3 654 + 5 604) – 9 354 \]
\[ M_6 = 301 \text{ kg/d} \]
Settler on 3 Level

The schematic diagram for the settler on 3 level and its associated clear water dam and mud dam is indicated in Figure A4. The label for each stream is indicated in brackets.

As this system is rather complex it is recommended to consider the balances around each of the process units, i.e. settler, clear water dam and mud dam, and then assess their interaction.

Figure A4: Schematic Diagram for Settler, Clear Water Dam and Mud Dam on Levels 3 and 4

The equation for the water balance over the settler is:

$$FR_3 + 2#_3 + 3#_3 + BD_3 = SCW_3 + SM_3$$

The equation for the salt balance over the settler is:

$$FR_3 + 2#_3 + 3#_3 + BD_3 = SCW_3 + SM_3$$

It will not be possible to solve these equations, as there are more unknown variables (namely six) than equations (namely two). To calculate the load and flow rate of the clear water and the mud from the settler it will be necessary to do the balances around the clear water dam and the mud dam.

The equations for the water and salt balances over the clear water dam are respectively:

$$SCW_3 + CW_6 = CW_4 + CW_3$$

$$SCW_3 + CW_6 = CW_4 + CW_3$$

These equations cannot be solved as there are more unknown variables (namely four) than equations (namely two). Equations (5) and (6) can be solved if the flow and quality for the clear water to the refrigeration plant on 3 level is known, which will require a balance over the refrigeration plant. The schematic line diagram for the refrigeration plant on 3 level is illustrated in Figure A5.

Figure A5: Schematic Diagram of Refrigeration Plant on 3 Level

The equations for the water and salt balances over the refrigeration plant on 3 level are:

water balance:

$$CW_3 + PW_3 + C_3 = SW_3 + BD_3 + L_3 + ERP_3$$

$$CW_3 = (3750 + 1766 + 967 + 1846) - (2628 + 430)$$

$$CW_3 = 5271 \text{ m}^3/\text{d}$$

salt balance:

$$CW_3 + PW_3 = SW_3 + BD_3 + L_3$$

$$CW_3 = 10833 + 7506 + 4110 - 1629$$

$$CW_3 = 20820 \text{ kg/d}$$

With the results from equation (7) and (8) one can solve equations (5) and (6):

$$SCW_3 + CW_6 = CW_4 + CW_3$$

$$SCW_3 = 4748 \text{ m}^3/\text{d}$$

$$SCW_3 + CW_6 = CW_4 + CW_3$$

$$SCW_3 = 20416 \text{ kg/d}$$

The equations for the water and salt balances for the mud dam on 4 level are:

water balance:

$$SM_3 + M_6 = M_4$$

$$SM_3 = 159 - 93$$

$$SM_3 = 66 \text{ m}^3/\text{d}$$

salt balance:

$$SM_3 + M_6 = M_4$$

$$SM_3 = 481 - 301$$

$$SM_3 = 180 \text{ kg/d}$$
It is now possible to solve equation (3):

\[ FR_3 + 2#_3 + 3#_3 + BD_3 = SCW_3 + SM_3 + \] 
\[ SS_3 \times SCW_3 + SM_3 \] 

\[ FR_3 = -564 \text{ m}^3/\text{d} \]

The negative value for the flow rate of an influx stream can mean that one of the following issues are present:

- The flow rate of an influent stream is too high, e.g. incorrect measurements/data of the flow rate.
- The flow rate of an effluent stream is too low, e.g. incorrect measurements, or calculation of the flow rate.
- An effluent stream is not indicated on the diagram.

After the relevant calculations have been checked, the data assessed, the monitoring equipment inspected and calibrated, where necessary, and discussions with staff, who has experience with the specific mining area, it was determined that there might be significant seepage from the settler. An additional stream is drawn on the schematic diagram to indicate the seepage (labeled SS3) as indicated in Figure A6.

**Figure A6: Settler on 3 Level with Seepage Stream Indicated**

The water and salt balances for the settler on 3 level (previously equations (3) and (4)) are now respectively:

\[ FR_3 + 2#_3 + 3#_3 + BD_3 = SCW_3 + SM_3 + SS_3 \times SCW_3 \] 

\[ = (4 748 + 66) - (2 123 + 1 489 + 1 766) \]

\[ FR_3 = -564 \text{ m}^3/\text{d} \]

Substituting FR3 with the product of its flow rate (FR3) and concentration (FR3C), equation (12a) can be written as:

\[ FR_3 \times FR_3C + 2#_3C + 3#_3C + BD_3C = SCW_3 + SM_3 + (SS_3 \times SCW_3) \] 

where:

\[ SCW_3 = SCW_3/SCW_3 \]

\[ SCW_3 = 20 416/4748 \]

\[ SCW_3 = 4.300 \text{ kg/m}^3 \]

Substituting FR3 with the product of its flow rate (FR3) and concentration (FR3C), equation (12a) can be written as:

\[ (FR_3 \times FR_3C) + 2#_3 + 3#_3 + BD_3 = SCW_3 + SM_3 + (SS_3 \times SCW_3) \] 

As there are now two unknown variables and two equations (11) and (12b) can be solved by means of simultaneous solution:

\[ FR_3 = 2 123 + 1 489 + 1 766 \]

\[ = 4 748 + 66 + SS_3 \]

\[ FR_3 = 564 \text{ m}^3/\text{d} \] 

\[ FR_3 \times FR_3C = [SCW_3 + SM_3 + (SS_3 \times SS_3)] \]

\[ - (2#_3 + 3#_3 + BD_3) \]

\[ FR_3 = [(20 416 + 180 + (SS_3 \times 4.300)]-(7 148 + 5 650 + 7 506))/6.335 \]

\[ FR_3 = 0.679 (SS_3) + 46 \] 

Equation (13) equals equation (14):

\[ SS_3 = 0.679 (SS_3) + 46 \]

\[ SS_3 = (564 + 46)/(1 - 0.679) \]

\[ SS_3 = 1 900 \text{ m}^3/\text{d} \]

Equation (13) can thus be solved:

\[ FR_3 = 1 900 - 564 \]

\[ FR_3 = 1 336 \text{ m}^3/\text{d} \]

and:

\[ FR_3 = FR_3 \times FR_3C \]

\[ FR_3 = 1 336 \times 6.335 \]

\[ FR_3 = 8 464 \text{ kg/d} \]

The following water and salt balance equations are applicable to determine the flow rates of the fissure water and return water separately:

\[ FW_3 + RSW_3 = FR_3 \] 

\[ (FW_3 \times FW_3C) + (RSW_3 \times RSW_3) = FR_3 \]

The two equations have two unknowns and can thus be solved by means of simultaneous solution:
from (15): \[ FW_3 = 1336 - RSW_3 \]
from (16): \[ FW_3 = \frac{[8464 - 6.905(RSW_3)]}{4.892} \]
\[ FW_3 = 1730 - 1.411(RSW_3) \]
equation (15) equals equation (16) thus:
\[ 1336 - RSW_3 = 1730 - 1.411(RSW_3) \]
\[ RSW_3 = \frac{(1730 - 1336)}{(1.411 - 1)} \]
\[ RSW_3 = 959 \text{ m}^3/\text{d} \]
\[ FW_3 = 1336 - 959 \]
\[ FW_3 = 377 \text{ m}^3/\text{d} \]

**Clear Water Dams on 1 and 2 Levels**

The schematic diagram for the clear water dams on 1 and 2 levels is indicated in Figure A7.

**Figure A7: Schematic Diagram of Clear Water Dams on Levels 1 and 2**

The equations for the water and salt balances over the clear water dam on 2 level are:

**water balance:**

\[ CW_{2f} = SW_{2f} \]  
\[ CW_{2f} = 874 \text{ m}^3/\text{d} \]

**salt balance:**

\[ CW_{2l} = SW_{2l} \]
\[ CW_{2l} = 2712 \text{ kg/d} \]

The equations for the water and salt balances over the clear water dam on 1 level are:

**water balance:**

\[ CW_{1f} = CW_{1f} + CW_{2f} \]  
\[ CW_{1f} = 3124 - 874 \]
\[ CW_{1f} = 2250 \text{ m}^3/\text{d} \]

**salt balance:**

\[ CW_{1l} = CW_{1l} + CW_{2l} \]  
\[ CW_{1l} = 8950 - 2712 \]
\[ CW_{1l} = 6238 \text{ kg/d} \]

Both \( CW_{1f} \) and \( CW_{1l} \) are measured values and it is thus possible to evaluate the accuracy of the balance:

**For the flow rate:**

\[ \% \text{ accuracy} = \left(\frac{\text{Measured} - \text{Calculated}}{\text{Measured}}\right) \times 100 \]
\[ = \left(\frac{2400 - 2250}{2400}\right) \times 100 \]
\[ = 6.3 \% \]

**For the salt load:**

\[ \% \text{ accuracy} = \left(\frac{\text{Measured} - \text{Calculated}}{\text{Measured}}\right) \times 100 \]
\[ = \left(\frac{6793 - 6238}{6793}\right) \times 100 \]
\[ = 8.2 \% \]

The accuracy is within the 5 – 10 % accuracy range. If the accuracy of the balance is unacceptable one will have to either collect more detail and accurate data or determine whether there is a problem present. This can be done as indicated in 4.1.7. The application of these Steps has been illustrated in this example with the water and salt balances over the settler on 3 level.

**Mud Dam on 1 Level**

The schematic diagram for the mud dam on 1 level is indicated in Figure A8.

**Figure A8: Schematic Diagram of Mud Dam on 1 Level**

The equations for the water and salt balances over the mud dam on 1 level are:

**water balance:**

\[ M_{4f} = M_{1f} \]  
\[ M_{1f} = 159 \text{ m}^3/\text{d} \]

**salt balance:**

\[ M_{4l} = M_{1l} \]
\[ M_{1l} = 481 \text{ kg/d} \]
Both $M_1$ and $M_2$ are measured values and it is thus possible to evaluate the accuracy of the balance:

**For the flow rate:**

\[
\text{% accuracy} = \frac{(\text{Measured} - \text{Calculated})}{\text{Measured}} \times 100
\]

\[
= \frac{(145 - 159)}{145} \times 100
\]

\[
= -9.7 \%
\]

**For the salt load:**

\[
\text{% accuracy} = \frac{(\text{Measured} - \text{Calculated})}{\text{Measured}} \times 100
\]

\[
= \frac{(534 - 481)}{534} \times 100
\]

\[
= 9.9 \%
\]

The accuracy is within the 5 – 10 % accuracy range. If the accuracy of the balance is unacceptable one will have to either collect more detail and accurate data or determine whether there is a problem present. This can be done as indicated in 3.1. The application of these Steps has been illustrated in this example with the water and salt balances over the settler on 3 level.

**Step 6: Develop Output Format**

The water and salt balance was developed for a current scenario with average flow rates and water quality. The final water and salt balance is indicated on the schematic line diagrams, as illustrated in Figures A8 and A9. The water and salt balance needs to be updated monthly. The monthly time series data can then be illustrated on bar charts or graphs. It would also be valuable to not only calculate water and salt balances for average values but also for other scenarios such as drought situations or extreme storm events to account for seasonal changes.

**Steps 7 - 11: Balances with Lower Level of Detail**

Water and salt balances similar to those that have been developed for 1 shaft can be developed for the other sub-management units defined in Step 2 and illustrated in Figure A1. All these sub-management units can be linked with each other to develop the water and salt balances for the entire mine, which is required by the environmental manager as defined in Step 1.
Figure A9: Final Water Balance for 1 Shaft
Figure A10: Final Salt Balance for 1 Shaft (Based on Na)
In the development of a generic definition of the water reticulation system, it is important to identify all the relevant flow paths, e.g. bedlosses, evapotranspiration and influx of ground water. A list of flow paths that usually need to be taken into consideration when a water reticulation flow diagram is developed is given below. The list is not an exhaustive list, but gives an indication of some of the major flow paths and some of the flow paths that may be overlooked in a first screening.

**Underground circuits**
- Chilled mine service water
- Unchilled mine service water
- Chilled water for cooling circuits
- Condensor circuit make-up
- Drinking water
- Fissure or ground water
- Mud from settlers
- Ore surface moisture
- Settled clear water
- Ventilation and evaporation losses
- Losses to flooding of underground sections

**Opencast mining circuits**
- Dust suppression
- Groundwater recharge
- Ore surface moisture
- Rainfall
- Infiltration through rehabilitated portion of pit
- Evaporation
- Evapotranspiration from rehabilitated portion of pit
- Service water
- Losses to flooding of portions of pit

**Metallurgical/Beneficiation plants**
- Chemical make-up water
- Coal and discard surface moisture
- Evaporative circuits losses
- Evaporative circuits make-up water
- Gland service water
- Mud from u/g settlers
- Ore surface moisture
- Plant water
- Seepage
- Surface runoff
Slimes/tailings discharge
- Slimes dam return water
- Washdown water
- Workshop water

Waste Deposits
- Dust suppression
- Evaporation
- Irrigation for vegetation
- Precipitation onto slimes dam/waste rock
- Retained interstitial water in waste rock dump/residue dump
- Surface runoff
- Seepage
- Slimes/tailings discharged to slimes dam/residue dump
- Waste rock surface moisture
- Evapotranspiration from vegetation

Environmental (external to mine) circuits
- Borehole abstractions
- Diffuse source effluents to surface water
- Diffuse source pollution to ground water
- Evaporation
- Evapotranspiration
- Point abstractions from surface water
- Point source effluent discharges
- Rainfall
- Stream and dam bed losses
- Surface runoff

Domestic Water Circuits
- Irrigation
- Potable water for hostel, village, offices and plant
- Sewage return water (e.g. to sport fields)
- Sewage sludge
- Treated sewage discharge

When developing a mine water and salt balance diagram, it is important to ensure that all the necessary flow paths are considered for each of the unit processes within the system. By ignoring key flow paths such as seepage, evaporation, catchment runoff, etc., the overall usefulness of the balances as a management tool is greatly reduced.

A minimum level of detail is recommended, as shown in the schematic diagrams below for some of the more common unit processes encountered in mine water circuits.

Most of the streams can be measured directly or calculated from measured data. For a number of streams it is not possible to determine the variables by means of direct measurements and the calculations tend to be complex. For these streams it is more feasible to determine the water quality and quantity with acceptable models. In some instances calculation and modeling techniques are available. The calculation techniques generally have more assumptions than the models and will usually be less accurate. Calculation techniques are thus used to determine rough numbers for the balances and can be refined, if necessary, with the appropriate modeling technique.

It is important to determine the frequency of data measurement, required. Daily flow measurements may be critical in certain process stream whereas seasonal averages or means in surrounding watercourses may be sufficient. Minimum and maximum values would be important when considering extreme situations of water requirements and storage.
APPENDIX C: QUANTIFYING COMPLEX INFLUENCES

1. Evaporation

The main areas where evaporation at a mine can be found are at open water storage facilities like dams, spray chambers and with ventilation air in underground mines. Evaporation also takes place from the surface of soil, waste rock dumps, discard dumps, tailings dams, etc. Closed systems (pipes) have less variation in water volume than open systems, since open systems are influenced by weather patterns and this can impact on the mine’s water balance.

Evaporation can be determined through measurement or calculation. Evaporation can, for example, be measured with an A-pan, where an A-pan consists of an unpainted, galvanized iron circular container. It is usually filled to a depth of 20 cm and refilled when the depth has fallen < 18 cm. The level of the water surface is measured daily. Precipitation also needs to be measured and taken into account. Evaporation for open systems on surface is calculated daily from the exposed water surface area and the evaporation rate, for example:

A settling pond with a surface area of 12 000 m² in an area with an evaporation rate of 215 mm/month for September will have an evaporation of 

\[(215/1000) \times 12\,000 = 2\,580\,m^3/month\]

for September.

Evaporation rates in underground mine areas are not usually measured, but are calculated by means of psychrometric principles. Psychrometry deals with the thermodynamics of mixtures of air and water vapour. Two methods will be discussed, namely a method that will deliver a relatively accurate result and a simplified method that will give a less accurate answer. The following Steps are applicable for the calculations (Hemp, 1982):

Accurate equations:

1) Calculate the moisture content, \(r_o\), of saturated air at the wet-bulb temp, from:

\[r_o = \frac{M_w f P'_{ws}}{M_a P - fP'_{ws}} \text{ kg/kg} \]

Where \(M_w = 18.016\)

\(M_a = 28.9664\) (for dry air of standard composition)

\(f = 1.0048\)

\(P'_{ws} = 0.6105 \exp(17.27 t_{wb}/(237.3 + t_{wb}))\) kPa

2) Calculate the enthalpy terms for dry air, liquid water and water vapour.

Dry air:

\[H_{ao} = 1.005 t_{wb} \]

\[H_{ai} = 1.005 t_{db} \]

Liquid water:

\[H'_{wi} = 0.0000063 t_{wb}^3 - 0.000727 t_{wb}^2 + 4.2058 t_{wb} + 0.03 \text{ kJ/kg} \]

Water vapour:

\[H'_{wo} = 0.00000662 t_{wb}^3 - 0.000194 t_{wb}^2 + 1.8375 t_{wb} + 2.50083 \text{ kJ/kg} \]

\[H'_{wi} = 0.0000063 t_{db}^3 - 0.000727 t_{db}^2 + 4.2058 t_{db} + 0.03 \text{ kJ/kg} \]
3) Calculate the actual moisture content of the air, \( r \):

\[
r = \frac{t_w (H'_{wi} - H'_{w}) - (H_o - H_{wo})}{H'_{wi} - H'_{wl}} \quad \text{kg/kg}
\]

**Less accurate equations:**

1) Calculate the vapour pressure, \( P_w \), from:

\[
P_w = P'_ws - AP(t_{db} - t_{wb}) \quad \text{kPa}
\]

Where:

\[
P'_ws = 0.6105 \exp(17.27 \frac{t_{wb}}{(237.3 + t_{wb})}) \quad \text{kPa}
\]

\[
A = 0.000644 \quad \text{°C}^{-1}
\]

2) Calculate the moisture content, \( r \), from:

\[
r = \frac{0.622 P_w}{P - P_w} \quad \text{kg/kg}
\]

A wet-bulb thermometer consists of an ordinary thermometer. The bulb is covered with cotton gauze, and is kept wet. The evaporation of water from the wet gauze results in the bulb being cooled to a temperature below the air temperature. The extent of the drop in temperature is a measure of the vapour pressure. (Hemp, 1982) The dry-bulb temperature is defined by the sum of the thermometer temperature and the surrounding temperature. The example in Appendix A illustrates how these equations are used.

2  Evapotranspiration

Actual evapotranspiration is a measure of solar radiation, temperature and rainfall; it is the amount of water transported (also referred to as “pumped”) into the atmosphere by evaporation from the ground and by transpiration from the vegetation (Krebs, 1985). Direct measurement of the rates of water use by plants is often technically difficult, and estimates have frequently been based on indirect methods such as observed rates of soil water depletion. A number of rather complex and expensive instruments have been designed to determine evapotranspiration. Many attempts have been made to use weather data to calculate the maximum or potential evapotranspiration for a given area, but these calculations have only been partly successful.

Evapotranspiration for wetlands is generally calculated as the evaporation rate for the same surface area, multiplied by an evaporation factor (E). Discussions with various researchers (Wood, 1993 and Ashton, 1993) and information from research reports indicated that E might vary from 0.8 to 5. A wide range of variables, including wind speed, soil heat flux density, aerodynamics, canopy surface conductance and water vapour pressure, which is best estimated by the Penman-Monteith equation (Brezny, 1973), affect the evapotranspiration factor. The estimation of evapotranspiration for an area is complex and should preferably be calculated with the relevant model. In situations where only a preliminary and rough estimation is required it may be assumed that the value of E equals 1.

3  Runoff

When the rate of rainfall influx exceeds the absorption capacity of the soil, the excess water flows over the surface as overland flow. Rainfall runoff responds differently to variations in topography, soil and characteristics of precipitation, and indirectly to variations in climate, vegetation and land use. Therefore runoff flow controls the volume, periodicity and chemical characteristics of contributions to receiving streams and lake basins. (Wetzel, 1983)

Various methods and models are available to estimate runoff. The two most common manual calculation methods that are in use are the “Rational” method and the SCS method. The “Rational” method is based on a relatively simple equation and its application is restricted to small drainage areas, usually less than 15 km². The SCS method can be applied to a larger drainage area than the “Rational” method, but is also more complicated. Discussions on the application of the two methods can be found in BPG G1. More detailed discussions are presented in the following publications: Wanielista (1978), Ferguson (1990) and Debo (1995).

Models have been developed to calculate runoff for a specific area. The models usually require detailed data and a suitably qualified person to run the model.

4  Water and Salt Balances Over Tailing Dams and Other Waste Dumps

Predicting the interaction of water and salt on the surface of tailings dams and other waste dumps is dependent on a complex process where three factors dominate. These factors do not function as independent variables, but rather as a closely coupled system. The first factor is the supply and demand for water imposed at the soil surface.
by atmospheric conditions such as total precipitation, all net radiation, wind speed and air temperature. The second factor is the ability of the soil to transmit water and the associated water regime, as defined by the hydraulic conductivity and storage characteristics of the soil to control the flow of the soil moisture. The final factor involves the influence of vegetation. The type and density of vegetation affects evaporation through the consumption of water through root uptake but also along with runoff rates and surface retention. (SoilCover, 1997)

Various models have been developed to assist with the prediction of this complex process and a suitably qualified person will be capable of making the correct choice between the models and applying them correctly at each site.

5 Groundwater

Quantifying groundwater flow into a mine is a complex issue that relies on a proper understanding of the underground water flows and aquifers. Models have been developed to simulate groundwater flow. These models usually require site-specific data, which include groundwater monitoring. Groundwater monitoring and data collection is described in more detail in BPG G3: Water Monitoring Systems.

Trends from groundwater monitoring data only are generally not representative of future groundwater inflows. Predictive models have been developed to overcome the problem. Predictive models should be subjected to a rigorous process of calibration, verification and sensitivity analysis, which should also form an integral part of a monitoring program.